

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,162 12/14/2004		2/14/2004	Erich Salzle	18594-002US1 2738	
20985	7590	07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARDS	ON, PC		AHMED,	SHAMIM
P.O. BOX 10	022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1765	

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,162	SALZLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Shamim Ahmed	1765				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO SIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	ecember 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the identification of the lightest of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing (s) is objected to the drawing (s) is objected to be a set of the drawing (s) is objected to be a set of the drawing (s) is objected to be a set of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to by the lightest of the drawing (s) is objected to be drawing (s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
_		Raminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-1년</u> 간식	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/518,162 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10-14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson (USP 3,560,281).

Nelson discloses a glass etching process including the step of controlling the concentration of hexafluorosilicate in the glass-etching bath containing hydrofluoric acid and sulfuric acid (col.2, lines 40-49).

Nelson also discloses that inorganic sulphate salt such as aluminum sulphate is added into the etching bath in order to precipated hexafluorosilicate for reduction of the concentration of the hexafluorosilicate, wherein the added aluminum sulfate concentration falls within the claimed range (col.2, lines 50-68).

As to claim 11, the zinc ions are inherent in the composition as the ingredient of the glass substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (3,560,281) in view of JP 2000-147474 A.

Nelson discusses above in the paragraph 2 but fail to teach introduction of air as blowing into the bath.

However, JP-2000-147474 A teaches glass etching is provided with air bubble generator in the bottom of the etching tank for stirring the etching solution in order to provide uniform etching on the glass surface (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to employ the teaching of the Japanese reference for uniform etching of the glass substrate as taught by the Japanese reference.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (3,560,281) in view of Salzle (USP 4,555,304).

Nelson discusses above in the paragraph 2 but fail to teach introduction of oxalic acid and/or potassium oxalate in the etching/polishing bath.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,162 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

However, Salzle teaches introduction of oxalic acid into glass polishing or etching bath with advantage of high polishing speed (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to employ the teaching of Salzle into Nelson's process for increasing the polishing/etching speed as taught by Salzle.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Haga et al (US 2002/0072235 A) teaches conventional teaching for controlling glass etching rate by varying hexafluorosilicate concentration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,162 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA July 19, 2006